

# WFDF Member Association Opinion Survey - June 2013

Regular Members responding - 46  
 Provisional members responding - 9  
 Total members responding - 55

Africa/Middle East - 2  
 Asia Oceania - 11  
 Europe - 31  
 Pan American - 11

**Q1. WFDF Report Card** For questions 1a-1o, please grade WFDF on its performance according to the following scale: give a 1 for excellent, 2 for good, 3 for fair, 4 for poor, 5 for horrible, or N/A for "don't know."

	excellent	good	fair	poor	horrible	N/A	Rating Average
<b>a. World Ultimate Guts Championships (Sakai 2012)</b>	7.3% (4)	25.5% (14)	10.9% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>56.4% (31)</b>	2,08
Asia Oceania	18,2% (2)	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	2,13
Europe	3,2% (1)	19,4% (6)	6,5% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>71,0% (22)</b>	2,11
Pan American	9,1% (1)	36,4% (4)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>45,5% (5)</b>	2,00
<b>b. World Ultimate Junior Championships (Dublin 2012)</b>	1.8% (1)	30.9% (17)	10.9% (6)	3.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	<b>52.7% (29)</b>	2,35
Asia Oceania	0,0% (0)	27,3% (3)	9,1% (1)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	<b>54,5% (6)</b>	2,60
Europe	0,0% (0)	35,5% (11)	12,9% (4)	3,2% (1)	0,0% (0)	<b>48,4% (15)</b>	2,38
Pan American	9,1% (1)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>63,6% (7)</b>	2,00
<b>c. Overall Communications</b>	18.2% (10)	<b>43.6% (24)</b>	30.9% (17)	1.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	5.5% (3)	2,17
Prior year response	18.4% (9)	<b>49.0% (24)</b>	26.5% (13)	6.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2,20
<b>d. Web site</b>	27.3% (15)	<b>52.7% (29)</b>	<b>16.4% (9)</b>	3.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1,96
Prior year response	26.5% (13)	<b>53.1% (26)</b>	20.4% (10)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1,94
<b>e. Facebook page</b>	10.9% (6)	<b>43.6% (24)</b>	27.3% (15)	5.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	12.7% (7)	2,31
<b>f. Marketing of flying disc sports</b>	3.6% (2)	32.7% (18)	<b>38.2% (21)</b>	9.1% (5)	1.8% (1)	14.5% (8)	2,68
Prior year response	6.1% (3)	16.3% (8)	<b>38.8% (19)</b>	16.3% (8)	0.0% (0)	22.4% (11)	2,84
<b>g. Growth of flying disc sports</b>	1.8% (1)	<b>47.3% (26)</b>	<b>29.1% (16)</b>	10.9% (6)	0.0% (0)	10.9% (6)	2,55
Prior year response	10.2% (5)	32.7% (16)	<b>36.7% (18)</b>	6.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (7)	2,45
<b>h. Support of Member Associations</b>	5.5% (3)	<b>32.7% (18)</b>	<b>32.7% (18)</b>	14.5% (8)	1.8% (1)	12.7% (7)	2,71
Prior year response	8.2% (4)	20.4% (10)	<b>49% (24)</b>	10.2% (5)	2.0% (1)	10.2% (5)	2,75
<b>i. Development of disc sports in new countries</b>	1.8% (1)	<b>30.9% (17)</b>	<b>30.9% (17)</b>	12.7% (7)	0.0% (0)	23.6% (13)	2,71
Prior year response	6.1% (3)	16.3% (8)	<b>28.6% (14)</b>	16.3% (8)	4.1% (2)	28.6% (14)	2,94
<b>j. Development of disc sports in Member countries</b>	0.0% (0)	23.6% (13)	<b>30.9% (17)</b>	27.3% (15)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (10)	3,04
Prior year response	2.0% (1)	12.2% (6)	<b>49.0% (24)</b>	22.4% (11)	4.1% (2)	10.2% (5)	3,16
<b>k. Enhanced understanding of Spirit of the Game</b>	20.0% (11)	<b>50.9% (28)</b>	18.2% (10)	1.8% (1)	1.8% (1)	7.3% (4)	2,08
Prior year response	8.2% (4)	<b>40.8% (20)</b>	28.6% (14)	8.2% (4)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (7)	2,43
<b>l. Advancement within the Olympic Movement (World Games, etc.)</b>	30.9% (17)	<b>34.5% (19)</b>	21.8% (12)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	12.7% (7)	1,90
Prior year response	18.4% (9)	<b>51% (25)</b>	14.3% (7)	4.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	12.2% (6)	2,05
<b>m. Anti-Doping Education</b>	5.5% (3)	<b>40.0% (22)</b>	32.7% (18)	3.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (10)	2,42
Prior year response	8.2% (4)	<b>44.9% (22)</b>	24.5% (12)	6.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	16.3% (8)	2,34
<b>n. Management of business activities</b>	3.6% (2)	<b>34.5% (19)</b>	30.9% (17)	5.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	25.5% (14)	2,51
Prior year response	10.2% (5)	22.4% (11)	<b>24.5% (12)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	42.9% (21)	2,25

Q2. For the following questions 2a-2l, please state whether over the last year WFDF's performance in the following areas has: 1- generally improved, 2-stayed about the same, 3-generally worsened, or N/A for "don't know".

	1 generally improved	2 stayed about the same	3 generally worsened	N/A	Rating Average
<b>a. World Championships</b>	27,3% (15)	23,6% (13)	1,8% (1)	<b>47,3% (26)</b>	1,52
Prior year response	24,5% (12)	<b>38,8% (19)</b>	4,1% (2)	32,7% (16)	1,70
<b>b. Communications</b>	<b>56,4% (31)</b>	34,5% (19)	0,0% (0)	9,1% (5)	1,38
Prior year response	<b>55,1% (27)</b>	38,8% (19)	0,0% (0)	6,1% (3)	1,41
<b>c. Web site</b>	<b>67,3% (37)</b>	29,1% (16)	0,0% (0)	3,6% (2)	1,30
Prior year response	<b>67,3% (33)</b>	28,6% (14)	0,0% (0)	4,1% (2)	1,30
<b>d. Marketing of flying disc sports</b>	21,8% (12)	<b>52,7% (29)</b>	5,5% (3)	20,0% (11)	1,80
Prior year response	6,1% (3)	<b>81,6% (40)</b>	0,0% (0)	12,2% (6)	1,93
<b>e. Growth of flying disc sports</b>	23,6% (13)	<b>60,0% (33)</b>	0,0% (0)	16,4% (9)	1,72
Prior year response	32,7% (16)	<b>53,1% (26)</b>	4,1% (2)	10,2% (5)	1,68
<b>f. Support of Member Associations</b>	12,7% (7)	<b>67,3% (37)</b>	3,6% (2)	16,4% (9)	1,89
Prior year response	14,3% (7)	<b>75,5% (37)</b>	2,0% (1)	8,2% (4)	1,87
<b>g. Development of disc sports in new countries</b>	23,6% (13)	<b>52,7% (29)</b>	0,0% (0)	23,6% (13)	1,69
Prior year response	14,3% (7)	<b>75,5% (37)</b>	2,0% (1)	8,2% (4)	1,84
<b>h. Development of disc sports in Member countries</b>	12,7% (7)	<b>65,5% (36)</b>	3,6% (2)	18,2% (10)	1,89
Prior year response	8,2% (4)	<b>73,5% (36)</b>	6,1% (3)	12,2% (6)	1,98
<b>i. Enhanced understanding of Spirit of the Game</b>	<b>60,0% (33)</b>	23,6% (13)	1,8% (1)	14,5% (8)	1,32
Prior year response	34,7% (17)	<b>51,0% (25)</b>	4,1% (2)	10,2% (5)	1,66
<b>j. Advancement within the Olympic Movement (World Games, Sport Accord, IOC)</b>	<b>54,5% (30)</b>	29,1% (16)	1,8% (1)	14,5% (8)	1,38
Prior year response	<b>53,1% (26)</b>	38,8% (19)	0,0% (0)	8,2% (4)	1,42
<b>k. Anti-Doping Education</b>	34,5% (19)	<b>45,5% (25)</b>	1,8% (1)	18,2% (10)	1,60
Prior year response	<b>57,1% (28)</b>	28,6% (14)	0,0% (0)	14,3% (7)	1,33
<b>l. Management of business activities</b>	16,4% (9)	<b>50,9% (28)</b>	0,0% (0)	32,7% (18)	1,76
Prior year response	16,3% (8)	<b>42,9% (21)</b>	0,0% (0)	40,8% (20)	1,72

Q3. How important a priority for WFDF should the pursuit of IOC recognition be?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. IOC recognition should be the highest priority for WFDF.	23.6%	13
Prior year response	24.5%	12
b. IOC recognition should be an extremely high priority for WFDF.	21.8%	12
Prior year response	24.5%	12
c. IOC recognition should be pursued by WFDF but not to the exclusion of other priorities.	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>26</b>
Prior year response	44.9%	22
d. IOC recognition should not be a high priority but WFDF should keep open the possibility in the	5.5%	3
Prior year response	2.0%	1
e. IOC recognition should not be pursued by WFDF.	1.8%	1
Prior year response	4.1%	2

Q4. Separately from WFDF, is your Association considering pursuing National Olympic Committee recognition?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. We are already recognized.	5.5%	3
Prior year response	6.1%	3
b. Yes.	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>26</b>
Prior year response	46.9%	23
c. No.	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>26</b>
Prior year response	46.9%	23

Q5. Separately from WFDF, is your Association considering pursuing recognition by a national government body other than your National Olympic Committee?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. We are already recognized.	25.5%	14
Prior year response	24.5%	12
b. Yes.	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>22</b>
Prior year response	42.9%	21
c. No.	34.5%	19
Prior year response	32.7%	16

Q6. As stated above, compliance with the WADA code is required if WFDF is to continue to participate in the Olympic Movement at any level. What this means is that flying disc athletes may be subject to anti-doping testing when they participate in a WFDF event (typically, the targets will be the elite athletes from the top teams). WFDF is currently considered compliant with the WADA anti-doping code, and we started testing in 2011, with no issues to date. What do you think about WFDF's participation in anti-doping activities?

	Response Percent	Response Count
a. If flying disc sports are to be taken seriously, we realize we need to fully embrace anti-doping.	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>29</b>
Prior year response	56.3%	27
b. We recognize that anti-doping programs need to be maintained in flying disc sports, but hope that the impact can be minimized.	34.5%	19
Prior year response	31.3%	15
c. We are uncomfortable with the idea of a WFDF anti-doping programs, but are willing to let WFDF continue with the program and see how it impacts athletes and our association.	10.9%	6
Prior year response	10.4%	5
d. We are opposed to anti-doping programs in WFDF events in any form.	1.8%	1
Prior year response	2.1%	1

Q7. It is possible that, in the future, anti-doping programs may be required for all Member Associations of WFDF on a national basis. What do you think about that?

	Response Percent	Response Count
a. We already have an anti-doping program for our Association.	18.2%	10
Prior year response	16.7%	8
b. We are already considering the introduction of an anti-doping program for our Association as it is required for us in order to gain/maintain recognition by our nationals sports association.	16.4%	9
Prior year response	22.9%	11
c. We haven't yet considered the issue.	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>25</b>
Prior year response	41.7%	20
d. We are uncomfortable with the introduction of an anti-doping program for our Association, but are willing to consider the issue and see how it impacts athletes and our association.	12.7%	7
Prior year response	12.5%	6
e. We are opposed to anti-doping programs being introduced into our national Association in any form.	7.3%	4
Prior year response	6.3%	3

Q8. WFDF Priorities Although we realize such rankings are subjective and difficult, please rank the following areas of WFDF activity by priority (1 is most important, 7 is least important).

	Most important	2	3	Neutral	5	6	Least important	Rating Average
<b>a. World Championships</b>	<b>64,8% (35)</b>	22,2% (12)	7,4% (4)	5,6% (3)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,54
Africa/Middle East	<b>100,0% (2)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,00
Asia Oceania	<b>80,0% (8)</b>	20,0% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,20
Europe	<b>61,3% (19)</b>	25,8% (8)	6,5% (2)	6,5% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,58
Pan American	<b>54,5% (6)</b>	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,82
Prior year response	<b>70,8% (34)</b>	20,8% (10)	4,2% (2)	4,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,42
<b>b. Regional Championships</b>	24,1% (13)	<b>38,9% (21)</b>	14,8% (8)	14,8% (8)	0,0% (0)	5,6% (3)	1,9% (1)	2,52
Africa/Middle East	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,50
Asia Oceania	18,2% (2)	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	18,2% (2)	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	0,0% (0)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	2,91
Europe	20,0% (6)	<b>53,3% (16)</b>	10,0% (3)	10,0% (3)	0,0% (0)	3,3% (1)	3,3% (1)	2,40
Pan American	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	18,2% (2)	27,3% (3)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	2,45
Prior year response	25,5% (12)	<b>38,3% (18)</b>	21,3% (10)	6,4% (3)	2,1% (1)	2,1% (1)	4,3% (2)	2,45
<b>c. World Games</b>	27,3% (15)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	10,9% (6)	14,5% (8)	5,5% (3)	5,5% (3)	1,8% (1)	2,60
Prior year response	29,8% (14)	<b>34,0% (16)</b>	21,3% (10)	8,5% (4)	0,0% (0)	2,1% (1)	4,3% (2)	2,38
<b>d. Communications</b>	<b>44,4% (24)</b>	31,5% (17)	20,4% (11)	3,7% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,83
Prior year response	33,3% (16)	<b>41,7% (20)</b>	18,8% (9)	2,1% (1)	4,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,02
<b>e. Web site</b>	24,1% (13)	<b>31,5% (17)</b>	35,2% (19)	5,6% (3)	1,9% (1)	1,9% (1)	0,0% (0)	2,35
Prior year response	22,9% (11)	<b>39,6% (19)</b>	20,8% (10)	6,3% (3)	2,1% (1)	4,2% (2)	4,2% (2)	2,54
<b>f. facebook page</b>	9,3% (5)	25,9% (14)	<b>31,5% (17)</b>	14,8% (8)	9,3% (5)	5,6% (3)	3,7% (2)	3,20
<b>g. Marketing of flying disc sports</b>	<b>27,8% (15)</b>	<b>27,8% (15)</b>	18,5% (10)	14,8% (8)	9,3% (5)	1,9% (1)	0,0% (0)	2,56
Prior year response	<b>39,6% (19)</b>	20,8% (10)	18,8% (9)	20,8% (10)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,21
<b>h. Growth of flying disc sports</b>	<b>45,3% (24)</b>	24,5% (13)	18,9% (10)	3,8% (2)	7,5% (4)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,04
Prior year response	<b>42,6% (20)</b>	21,3% (10)	17,0% (8)	10,6% (5)	4,3% (2)	4,3% (2)	0,0% (0)	2,26
<b>i. Support of Member Associations</b>	<b>42,6% (23)</b>	18,5% (10)	18,5% (10)	13,0% (7)	3,7% (2)	1,9% (1)	1,9% (1)	2,30
Prior year response	29,8% (14)	<b>34,0% (16)</b>	8,5% (4)	23,4% (11)	4,3% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,38
<b>j. Development of disc sports in new countries</b>	<b>27,3% (15)</b>	34,5% (19)	29,1% (16)	5,5% (3)	1,8% (1)	1,8% (1)	0,0% (0)	2,25
Prior year response	<b>37,5% (18)</b>	27,1% (13)	10,4% (5)	25,0% (12)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,23
<b>k. Development of disc sports in Member countries</b>	25,5% (14)	<b>30,9% (17)</b>	27,3% (15)	3,6% (2)	5,5% (3)	3,6% (2)	3,6% (2)	2,58
Prior year response	<b>31,3% (15)</b>	18,8% (9)	18,8% (9)	14,6% (7)	8,3% (4)	2,1% (1)	6,3% (3)	2,81
<b>l. Enhanced understanding of Spirit of the Game</b>	<b>37,0% (20)</b>	24,1% (13)	29,6% (16)	7,4% (4)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	1,9% (1)	2,17
Prior year response	31,3% (15)	<b>35,4% (17)</b>	12,5% (6)	10,4% (5)	4,2% (2)	4,2% (2)	2,1% (1)	2,42
<b>m. Advancement within the Olympic Movement (World Games, Sport Accord, IOC)</b>	27,3% (15)	<b>29,1% (16)</b>	21,8% (12)	10,9% (6)	7,3% (4)	1,8% (1)	1,8% (1)	2,55
Prior year response	<b>33,3% (16)</b>	22,9% (11)	25,0% (12)	8,3% (4)	4,2% (2)	2,1% (1)	4,2% (2)	2,50
<b>n. Anti-Doping education</b>	11,1% (6)	18,5% (10)	<b>25,9% (14)</b>	22,2% (12)	9,3% (5)	9,3% (5)	3,7% (2)	3,43
Prior year response	14,6% (7)	10,4% (5)	22,9% (11)	<b>31,3% (15)</b>	12,5% (6)	4,2% (2)	4,2% (2)	3,46
<b>o. Management of business activities</b>	13,0% (7)	29,6% (16)	<b>38,9% (21)</b>	14,8% (8)	1,9% (1)	0,0% (0)	1,9% (1)	2,70
Prior year response	16,7% (8)	20,8% (10)	22,9% (11)	<b>31,3% (15)</b>	4,2% (2)	2,1% (1)	2,1% (1)	3,00

Q9. In considering hosts for WFDF competitions, please rank the following (1 most important, 7 least important). (Please note: for 2011 the survey used only 6 rankings.)

	Most important	2	3	Neutral	5	6	Least important	Rating Average
a. Keeping costs low	21,8% (12)	<b>25,5% (14)</b>	14,5% (8)	16,4% (9)	12,7% (7)	7,3% (4)	1,8% (1)	3,02
Prior year response	21,3% (10)	<b>31,9% (15)</b>	17,0% (8)	19,1% (9)	10,6% (5)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,66
b. Maximizing the number of teams	<b>29,1% (16)</b>	25,5% (14)	25,5% (14)	1,8% (1)	9,1% (5)	9,1% (5)	0,0% (0)	2,64
Prior year response	17,0% (8)	23,4% (11)	<b>31,9% (15)</b>	8,5% (4)	8,5% (4)	8,5% (4)	2,1% (1)	3,02
c. Rotating hosts around the world	1,8% (1)	16,4% (9)	20,0% (11)	<b>25,5% (14)</b>	21,8% (12)	10,9% (6)	3,6% (2)	3,96
Prior year response	8,5% (4)	21,3% (10)	19,1% (9)	<b>23,4% (11)</b>	8,5% (4)	12,8% (6)	6,4% (3)	3,66
d. World-class competition environment (fields and other services), which would mean fewer teams and higher costs.	7,3% (4)	9,1% (5)	16,4% (9)	14,5% (8)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	16,4% (9)	1,8% (1)	4,16
Prior year response	8,5% (4)	6,4% (3)	6,4% (3)	21,3% (10)	<b>29,8% (14)</b>	23,4% (11)	4,3% (2)	4,45
e. Good quality competition environment (fields and other services) but with trade-offs for cost.	<b>32,7% (18)</b>	18,2% (10)	18,2% (10)	16,4% (9)	9,1% (5)	5,5% (3)	0,0% (0)	2,67
Prior year response	<b>40,4% (19)</b>	12,8% (6)	14,9% (7)	10,6% (5)	17,0% (8)	4,3% (2)	0,0% (0)	2,64
f. Hosting the events in the same year close to each other in time and location	1,8% (1)	3,6% (2)	1,8% (1)	20,0% (11)	10,9% (6)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	27,3% (15)	5,47
Prior year response	2,1% (1)	2,1% (1)	6,4% (3)	10,6% (5)	21,3% (10)	<b>31,9% (15)</b>	25,5% (12)	5,45

Q10. For Ultimate, which is more important in the context of planning the events and setting competition rules (e.g. formats, eligibility, qualification) at WUGC, WUCC and Regional Championships?

	Response Percent	Response Count
a. Worldwide development of Ultimate	27.3%	15
Prior year response	29.8%	14
b. Elite competition	9.1%	5
Prior year response	8.5%	4
c. Both should be weighed equally	<b>60.0%</b>	33
Prior year response	59.6%	28
d. Unsure or no opinion	3.6%	2
Prior year response	2.1%	1

Q11. Should WFDF put a lot more emphasis on the commercial aspects of its World Championship events (such as television coverage, sponsorship, spectators) even if that meant that events would be smaller and only highlight the top elite teams?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. There should be much more emphasis on the commercial aspects of the events even if that meant that events were smaller and only highlighted the top elite teams.	14.5%	8
b. Although it would be nice to bring in more commercial aspects into event staging, it should not be done at the expense of participation or the focus on play.	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>32</b>
c. The current level of commercialism is fine.	9.1%	5
d. WFDF should maintain the focus on participation and play.	16.4%	9
e. Not sure or no opinion.	1.8%	1

Q12. For Ultimate, do you think that some form of Continental championship should be introduced as a qualifying round and/or for establishing seeding for WUGC in the future?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. Uncategorically yes.	14.5%	8
Prior year response	8.5%	4
b. We would be willing to see a well-designed program introduced in the future but our region is not ready for that yet.	36.4%	20
Prior year response	34.0%	16
c. Although we recognize space limitations, we think it is best to still let all nations compete in the WUGC.	<b>38.2%</b>	<b>21</b>
Prior year response	51.1%	24
d. We think Continental Qualifiers is a bad idea.	7.3%	4
Prior year response	0.0%	0
e. Not applicable to our Association.	3.6%	2
Prior year response	6.4%	3

Q13. For Ultimate, do you think that some form of National Championship should be conducted by a National association before that country/Member would qualify to send teams to the WUGC or WUCC?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a. Yes, a national association must host a national championship with no less than 3-4 club teams in a respective division before it should be eligible to participate.	29.1%	16
(see choice a) OR must attend a regional (Continental) championship in order to be eligible to participate in the WUGC or WUCC.	30.9%	17
c. All Regular Members of WFDF should be entitled to send at least one team to World Championship events without any qualification requirements.	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>19</b>
d. Not applicable to our association.	5.5%	3

Q14. For Ultimate, the questions have been raised whether the World Ultimate Club Championships (WUCC) is a good format for international competition and whether it interferes with national events. For your association, does it still make sense to continue to hold the WUCC as is or should it be replaced by, say, hosting the World Ultimate Championship (with national teams) once every two years?

	Response Percent	Response Count
<b>a. Actually, would like to see the WUCC held once every two years.</b>	10.9%	6
Asia Oceania	9.1%	1
Europe	3.2%	1
Pan American	27.3%	3
<b>b. Should definitely continue the WUCC once every four years.</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>24</b>
Asia Oceania	27.3%	3
Europe	51.6%	16
Pan American	36.4%	4
<b>c. Would prefer to see the national team event once every two years and still have the WUCC.</b>	20.0%	11
Asia Oceania	27.3%	3
Europe	22.6%	7
Pan American	9.1%	1
<b>d. Would prefer to see the national team event once every two years to replace the WUCC.</b>	0.0%	0
Europe	0.0%	0
<b>e. Would be interested to consider other alternatives to WUCC such as stronger Continental</b>	5.5%	3
Asia Oceania	18.2%	2
Europe	3.2%	1
Pan American	0.0%	0
<b>f. WUCC should be discontinued without any need to replace it.</b>	1.8%	1
Europe	0.0%	0
Pan American	9.1%	1
<b>g. Not sure.</b>	12.7%	7
Africa/Middle East	0.0%	0
Asia/Oceania	18.2%	2
Europe	6.5%	2
Pan American	9.1%	1
<b>h. Not application to our association.</b>	5.5%	3
Pan American	9.1%	1

Q15. Is WFDF investing the proper amount of time and resources on the World Games and other events which may be considered to have limited opportunities for participation by all nations?

	Response Percent	Response Count
<b>a. WFDF is spending too much of its time and resources on such events.</b>	14.5%	8
Prior year response	10.9%	5
<b>b. WFDF is spending about the correct amount of its time and resources on such events.</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>24</b>
Prior year response	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>c. WFDF should be spending more of its time and resources on such events.</b>	7.3%	4
Prior year response	4.3%	2
<b>d. Don't know.</b>	34.5%	19
Prior year response	30.4%	14



Q16. In terms of organization, WFDF largely follows the Olympic model where athletes are represented by National Associations. In recent years, many new associations have been established as Ultimate-only associations and there is no national body to oversee activity in other disciplines such as guts, overall events, freestyle, or golf. If a plan to broaden the mandate were presented, would your association be willing to oversee disciplines other than Ultimate (and likely coordinating with established groups such as Freestyle Players Association or PDGA)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
a. We are already a multi-discipline national association.	40.0%	22
b. We would be willing to consider taking on that responsibility.	30.9%	17
c. We really wouldn't be interested in anything but Ultimate.	12.7%	7
d. Not only would it be a bad idea, we think WFDF should just focus on Ultimate.	7.3%	4
e. Not applicable to our Association.	9.1%	5

Q17. Are there areas where WFDF could provide further support to your Association? Please rank the following areas of potential support activity by priority (1 is most helpful, 7 is least helpful).

	Most helpful	2	3	Neutral	5	6	Least helpful	Rating Average
a. Basic organization and legal standing (such as improving Bylaws, upgrading from provisional membership, etc).	10,9% (6)	10,9% (6)	1,8% (1)	14,5% (8)	18,2% (10)	12,7% (7)	30,9% (17)	4,80
b. Assistance with official national recognition.	25,5% (14)	9,1% (5)	9,1% (5)	14,5% (8)	18,2% (10)	14,5% (8)	9,1% (5)	3,71
c. Web site.	2,0% (1)	2,0% (1)	6,0% (3)	12,0% (6)	20,0% (10)	18,0% (9)	40,0% (20)	5,60
d. Coaching training.	23,6% (13)	23,6% (13)	20,0% (11)	14,5% (8)	12,7% (7)	3,6% (2)	1,8% (1)	2,87
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	50,0% (1)	0,0% (0)	50,0% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	4,00
Asia Oceania	9,1% (1)	36,4% (4)	9,1% (1)	36,4% (4)	0,0% (0)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	3,09
Europe	32,3% (10)	22,6% (7)	22,6% (7)	6,5% (2)	16,1% (5)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	2,52
Pan American	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	9,1% (1)	9,1% (1)	3,45
e. Increased participation by women and juniors.	9,3% (5)	29,6% (16)	13,0% (7)	20,4% (11)	14,8% (8)	7,4% (4)	5,6% (3)	3,46
f. Teaching and promotion of Spirit of the Game,	10,9% (6)	10,9% (6)	16,4% (9)	20,0% (11)	9,1% (5)	29,1% (16)	3,6% (2)	4,07
g. General promotion of the sport through the media.	18,5% (10)	14,8% (8)	33,3% (18)	5,6% (3)	7,4% (4)	13,0% (7)	7,4% (4)	3,37

Q19. As you may have heard, the American Ultimate Disc League (AUDL) was launched in late Spring 2012 in the USA as the first "professional" Ultimate league. Regarding AUDL, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Mildly agree	Neutral	Mildly disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know/not applicable	Rating Average
<b>a. The introduction of the AUDL is good for Ultimate.</b>	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	30,9% (17)	16,4% (9)	10,9% (6)	7,3% (4)	0,0% (0)	2,25
Africa/Middle East	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	<b>45,5% (5)</b>	36,4% (4)	0,0% (0)	18,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	29,0% (9)	<b>32,3% (10)</b>	16,1% (5)	9,7% (3)	12,9% (4)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	27,3% (3)	27,3% (3)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
<b>b. Publicity for Ultimate is good, no matter what changes to the rules.</b>	12,7% (7)	<b>29,1% (16)</b>	16,4% (9)	20,0% (11)	21,8% (12)	0,0% (0)	3,09
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	6,5% (2)	<b>32,3% (10)</b>	12,9% (4)	19,4% (6)	29,0% (9)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	18,2% (2)	27,3% (3)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	
<b>c. Publicity for Ultimate is good, but AUDL should stick to existing rules.</b>	25,5% (14)	<b>32,7% (18)</b>	30,9% (17)	5,5% (3)	5,5% (3)	0,0% (0)	2,33
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	<b>100,0% (2)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	18,2% (2)	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	<b>32,3% (10)</b>	<b>32,3% (10)</b>	25,8% (8)	3,2% (1)	6,5% (2)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	<b>45,5% (5)</b>	9,1% (1)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	
<b>d. The game as played by AUDL should not be called Ultimate because of the use of referees.</b>	23,6% (13)	9,1% (5)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	14,5% (8)	18,2% (10)	0,0% (0)	2,95
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	27,3% (3)	9,1% (1)	<b>45,5% (5)</b>	18,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	<b>29,0% (9)</b>	12,9% (4)	25,8% (8)	12,9% (4)	19,4% (6)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	45,5% (5)	9,1% (1)	36,4% (4)	0,0% (0)	
<b>e. AUDL is negative for Ultimate because the use of referees is misleading about the real sport.</b>	21,8% (12)	<b>23,6% (13)</b>	16,4% (9)	18,2% (10)	18,2% (10)	1,8% (1)	2,87
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	27,3% (3)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	9,1% (1)	
Europe	22,6% (7)	<b>29,0% (9)</b>	16,1% (5)	19,4% (6)	12,9% (4)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	0,0% (0)	
<b>f. AUDL is negative for Ultimate because by using different rules they will create confusion.</b>	12,7% (7)	<b>27,3% (15)</b>	23,6% (13)	18,2% (10)	18,2% (10)	0,0% (0)	3,02
Africa/Middle East	0,0% (0)	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	<b>50,0% (1)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	18,2% (2)	9,1% (1)	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	27,3% (3)	9,1% (1)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	9,7% (3)	<b>35,5% (11)</b>	19,4% (6)	16,1% (5)	19,4% (6)	0,0% (0)	
Pan America	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	18,2% (2)	<b>27,3% (3)</b>	0,0% (0)	
<b>g. AUDL should work with established associations governing Ultimate.</b>	<b>41,8% (23)</b>	30,9% (17)	18,2% (10)	5,5% (3)	0,0% (0)	3,6% (2)	1,87
Africa/Middle East	<b>100,0% (2)</b>	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Asia Oceania	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	45,5% (5)	18,2% (2)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	
Europe	<b>41,9% (13)</b>	29,0% (9)	16,1% (5)	9,7% (3)	0,0% (0)	3,2% (1)	
Pan America	<b>36,4% (4)</b>	27,3% (3)	27,3% (3)	0,0% (0)	0,0% (0)	9,1% (1)	

Q20. Regarding "spirit of the game," please rate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements as they relate to the "self-refereeing" aspect of Ultimate.

	Strongly agree	Mildly agree	Neutral	Mildly disagree	Strongly disagree	Rating Average
a. Ultimate is not "Ultimate" without "spirit of the game," it is a different sport.	<b>69,1% (38)</b>	14,5% (8)	7,3% (4)	5,5% (3)	3,6% (2)	1,60
b. Referees are positive for the sport because they speed up the action.	5,5% (3)	<b>25,5% (14)</b>	20,0% (11)	<b>25,5% (14)</b>	23,6% (13)	3,36
c. Being self-officiated is a great branding opportunity for Ultimate.	<b>63,6% (35)</b>	23,6% (13)	10,9% (6)	0,0% (0)	1,8% (1)	1,53
d. Ultimate will never be credible in the world of sport unless there are referees	7,3% (4)	20,0% (11)	25,5% (14)	10,9% (6)	<b>36,4% (20)</b>	3,49
e. The use of Observers to make line calls and settle disputes quickly preserves the best aspects of spirit of the	<b>29,1% (16)</b>	29,1% (16)	20,0% (11)	12,7% (7)	9,1% (5)	2,44
f. It is not feasible to ask elite players to make their own calls.	5,5% (3)	14,5% (8)	20,0% (11)	16,4% (9)	<b>43,6% (24)</b>	3,78
g. Ultimate would lose a lot of what makes it special if it had referees like every other sport.	<b>45,5% (25)</b>	27,3% (15)	16,4% (9)	5,5% (3)	5,5% (3)	1,98
h. Elite Ultimate players have shown that they are not able to play without referees.	7,3% (4)	12,7% (7)	20,0% (11)	25,5% (14)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	3,67
i. Introduction of referees will result in more cheating.	<b>41,8% (23)</b>	27,3% (15)	10,9% (6)	14,5% (8)	5,5% (3)	2,15
j. Having referees will make the sport more interesting to watch.	5,5% (3)	12,7% (7)	<b>34,5% (19)</b>	25,5% (14)	21,8% (12)	3,45
k. Having referees will make the sport more interesting to play.	3,6% (2)	1,8% (1)	29,1% (16)	29,1% (16)	<b>36,4% (20)</b>	3,93
l. More youth programs are likely to adopt Ultimate if there were referees.	3,6% (2)	12,7% (7)	21,8% (12)	29,1% (16)	<b>32,7% (18)</b>	3,75

**Q18. What types of development projects" to promote the growth of flying disc sports do you think would be most useful for WFDF to engage in? Please give us your top three ideas."**

A Grant program for new national members. Promoting media coverage around the world Raising more awareness around the world re Ultimate Frisbee.  
None for the moment

Coaching Clinic - To train coaches that are within the developing country

1. IOC recognition project, 2. Media/social media project, 3. improvement of international events and camps

make brand from "ultimate" and other flying disc sport, (fair play, respect, nonviolent problem solving). support- recreation and professional level of play

1. Make presentations in different countries with the involvement of players from top teams. Spetial in schools and universities. 2. Publish booklets with the rules and advice in different languages. 3. More communication with national association or coordination groups

1. Involve the local community (towns) in supporting local teams (Generate a promotional/publicity/comercial) campaing to promote consumption of local products thru the involvement/practice of disc sports.. 2. Introduce the sports to the local physical education programs 3. Implement exchnage programs with "coaches" to provide showcases and quality clinics

Teach federations how to get PR Help federations get sponsorship Give free resources to help federations grow in their contry

- Roadshows - incorporate Ultimate into school curriculums

- provide a document with examples of how other countries have obtained official recognition of Ultimate.

Assistance to the nascent teams in north and south Cyprus, both playing in divided Nicosia.

Not sure

High quality ultimate promo video High quality ultimate educational promo video

1-WFDF Coaching Program 2-WFDF Rules Seminar 3-Announcing WFDF major events as established (much improved)

1) Assist to organise Elite Clinics around the world by supporting organisations such as RiseUp, Explosive Ultimate, etc ... with partial funding to expand their outreach and thereby increasing the overall skill level outside of traditional powerhouses. 2) Promote & Assist National Associations with Coach Development Programme 3) Assist emerging countries to set up National Associations AND provide assistance / governance guidelines on organisational behaviour

- sharing experience in promotion of disc sports, i.e. school projects - disc packs ad discounted price if used for promotion - ensure free coverage of streamed games for future promotion of the sport

1. Support fast growing communities like Asia, South America to have same idea of Rules and Spirit of the Game 2. Keep world championships open to very small countries 3. Foster regional championships to give access to higher level play to new communities

It's hard to say what are the best general ideas to promote the growth of flying disc sports. However, as Poland will be hosting World Games 2017, we would appreciate following help: 1. Involving WFDF in trainings, skill camps and other activities, especially the 'youth oriented' ones. 2. Enhanced participation of Polish teams in WFDF events. 3. Promoting Polish ultimate via WFDF official media. Of course those are our particular needs, but omitting words "Poland" and "Polish" would give more general ideas.

WFDF should avoid trying to get into things on the ground, but should support or fund local organisations doing such things

-Inclusion of women -Social development

- use SOTG as educational presence in school for children

Coach facilities provide in all devolping countries for ultimate game

Coaching Accreditations for coaches in Countries "WFDF Certified Coach" SOTG Seminars Player Clinics

1. Add more regional championships. Especially for junior. 2. WFDF recognized by IOC. 3. Coaching accreditation program.

- Media Promotion - Internal Promotion (such as website) - IOC Recognition

Promote Womens Development Promote Ultimate in mainstream media Promote Spirit of the game in mainstream media see:

"coaches tour" - good coaches should be send country by country to teach coaches and players as well "media growing" - support the media to bradcast the mair ultimate events worldwide as many national sport television as it is possible

Enhanced promotion with cutting edge techniques to attract attention and educate people about benefits of disc sports, outreach in new regions, and development in early stage regions

1 - Multi Disc Sport Events 2 - More overall focus in newer countries, not only focused on Ultimate (new people should be guided to find the sport that works for them, not be sold on Ultimate. 3 - Olympics for more than just Ultimate

1. Development of flying disc sports in the school system 2. Standard teaching syllabus 3. Standard coaching system

1. Training camps in countries with small amount of players 2. Training camps in the new countries. 3. Media activity developing in rural and low income regions

- More methods of teaching the Spirit of the Game "aside" from the Ultimate rulebook as an instument of mediation (like in the "Be Calm"-initiative, that by the way I transferred into German language) - An Ultimate teaching programm for beginners in a course format designed to be translated into several languages (basic settings and tactics) - A worldwide collection of best practices of "how tos" (starting a regional league, starting a pupils' course, starting girls' programs etc.)

Standardised 'starter pack' of lesson plans etc for new coaches teaching young people. Running high-level coaching programs for elite coaches

Playing without referees is the core difference and advantage Projects for schools and youth Marketing materials

disc games with referees should not be called "something ultimate"

Primary School University's economically disadvantaged people

1. sending more trainers from abroad ( but we are already planning that ourselves ) 2. helping our national youth teams and national women's team to participate in WJUC and WUGC 3. helping our search for more media coverage with WFDF's name

Do not have any.

Packaged training programs + trainers / coaching programs + coachas as a product to offer (sell) to member nations. Develop and support school / university programs WFDF managed / mandated coaching program for volunteer coaches, school teachers, team captains, etc.

continuer le développement à des couts raisonnables des organisations rigoureuses

Coaching course PR course

1. Projects to promote recognition of the sport in the general population

Publish tested formulas to get people to start playing in cities where's non or almost non disc sports. World community web 2.0 Offer knowledge resources and travelers for coaching and SOTG clinics.

Inspiring our member nationally e.g. coaching training courses.

coaching trainings

1. Professionally produced online video (maybe series) - "What is Ultimate?" "How do you play Ultimate"? There just isn't one really good video that explains what Ultimate is, the basic rules, shows the athleticism and dynamic nature of the sport, but only lasts 2 minutes and is in HD.

Currently don't have any ideas.

Assist countries to build infrastructure to grow youth ultimate Take emphasis off championships and put on growing the sport in each country Develop coach training program and license it/ make available to all member countries

1. Coaching resources/program 2. How to start up a new program 3. Translation of resources into different languages

Support national organizations and-or local NGO to teach flying disc sports at high schools and universities.

- Improve the knowledge about Ultimate in Europe, Asia and Africa - Get celebrities (athletes) to play Ultimate - let other sports govern themselves. Ultimate Frisbee deserves a stand alone federation. Just because we also use a disc doesn't link us to discgolf, guts, freestyle or anything else. Every ballsport has a different federation, so should every disc sport. This will also increase the market share for the other disc sports.

- Assigning a WFDF representative to each new member countries for adapting to organization and also to help them to contribute the organization itself and development in the country - Preparing educational videos on Spirit of the Game and the rules with demonstrations for new players - Simplifying the procedures for non-member countries to participate WFDF organizations by not putting strict boundaries at the beginning when it is their first WFDF organization (also helping them to be a member)

### Q21. What is your personal definition of "spirit of the game"

Mutual Respect, Integrity, Fun, Non-Violence, Friendship (UP 5 values)

The ability to combine competition and "will to win" at any level with fair-mindedness and respect for your opponent.

Fair play

self respect, and respect to other, acceptance, love. making us better people. meaning of life.

It is to let yor game speak for itself. You are responsible for your actions. The only person that knows what really happened is you.

rules knowledge fairness positive attitude

Playing at one's highest level while maintaining respect for the opponent and the sport.

Be cool, mon.

I think it should be preserved when it's in the best interest of WFDF. WFDF is in the unique position of preserving the integrity of SOTG globally and should continue to champion that at all levels. However, WFDF is not responsible for maintaining SOTG in situations that are happening in North America with USAU and the Pro Leagues. Let them operate as they see fit. Use SOTG within the context of WFDF programming, events and the World Games.

Spirit of the Game was and is a necessity of an up and coming young sport that couldn't afford officials. As the game evolves and more organizations can afford to train officials, the game's self-officiating will continue the trend and it will have a smaller role, but that does not mean that SOTG will disappear. Actions such as foul mongering theatrics can be documented through technology and our sport can benefit from technology to uphold SOTG. Ultimate should embrace technology (example: replay), at the elite level as a way to uphold SOTG.

Joy of play above all else.

Joy of playing in full and honest respect of rules and opponent. Basically joy.

It boils down to respect for your opponents: You treat them as you would like to be treated. Cheating to win is not an option.

Spirit of the Game is an enhanced fair play, where players not only stick to the rules, but take full intellectual and emotional responsibility for every aspect of the game - respecting other competitors and making it enjoyable experience among the other aspects.

n/a

Fair play

Essence of Ultimate

HONESTY FAIR PLAY & GOOD SPORTSMANSHIP

Honest in fact and respect all player.

Player shall not act to cause foul or violation or infraction. If it is called, all the player should be fair to the rule. This is the major rule of ultimate and shall not be neglected if the referees are adopted.

Respect your opponent and play fair

Spirit of the game is the goal/desire to enjoy the sport of ultimate while striving to meet your full competitive potential as you develop and as you play each second on the field and interact with each other ultimate community citizen whilst always respecting other players and treating them fairly as well as considering their feelings/experience and adhering to the rules at all times. It is not easy all the time but that is what makes this the 'Ultimate' sport and something worth preserving and always striving for each time on/off the field.

We don't really use spirit of the game in Guts. We should probably use it more. But I also think its somewhat overstated as a success story. I have attended two WUGC and watched many high level Ultimate matches. People want to win, Guts, Ultimate, jump rope. I am not sure why because I personally play on a Guts team known as one of the fairest and most gentlemanly in the game. But at a high level, honestly there are people that just cheat.

It is the ability of the team and its players to play to win with respect to your opponent and with the best dedication and without misuse of the game and the rules of Ultimate.

The very definition of fair play

The same as last year

Respect... honor... ethics...

"Spirit of the game for me is a school of character. It helps you learn how to get along with others with a lot of practical influence, beginning with pupils' mediation, heading up to lateral management skills. The rules of Ultimate provide not only theoretical "Fair Play wishes" but kind of "Standard Operation Procedures" that can be adopted for your whole life. For me this makes the big difference in acting self responsible, self-evidently keeping you from cheating, too."

Playing to the maximum of your competitive abilities without losing respect for the other players.

Play like a gentleman/lady - RESPECT your opponents. Compete, but never cheat!

Mutual Respect.

SotG means that I fully respect my sport, its rules, my opponents and my team mates

A belief that if you do not have referees the teams will call the game fairly.

retrey

Knowing the rules, fairness, positive attitude

ITs a particular way of conducting human relations applied to a sport. Where very contemporary social values, like non hierarchical relations, reaching consensus and subjectivity, are pushing the boundaries of competition.

Honesty

emphasise on true sportmanship = respect for the opponent, self-control, and fairness.

Playing hard within the agreed-upon rules.

SOTG should be the base principle of any sport. It gives me some guidelines of how to act on the field and off the field.

sportsmanship on and off the field

Respect the rules, your opponent and yourself.

Respect. Respect for the game, the players, spectators, the rules, etc.

play with each other instead of against each other.

I think observers are enough and good for the games. However, I do not think referees will make the games better. So, I still stand for the the games where players settle the problems with each other.

## Q22. Do you have any other comments you would like to share?

I'm aware WFDFs SOTG committee and the national federations are working with SOTG, but I absolutely believe there's a lot that can be done concerning developing, improving and clarifying SOTG in ultimate. It's clearly one of the most important and unique parts of our sport.

Thank you for all you do.

Can you send me what we answered for a records?

Sorry about delay in responding to this survey.

Still listing us as UKUA in some places (like the list below) - we are UKU

Maintain the Good work ! Keep the Disc Flying !

No

Add AOU19, AOU23 and AOGC(or AOGCC) in the future.

Due to the large travel costs the burden of having Continental Qualifying tournaments for Worlds puts a massive expense on elite players. We like the idea of Asia Oceania Championships BUT not as a qualifying tournament

Spirit of the Game is critical to the core of Ultimate and WFDFs efforts to preserve this are appreciated and important. It is an important element of what makes Ultimate special and should never be sacrificed.

Of super concern to us would be some questions that indicate you are considering becoming an Ultimate only organization. Now sure how the Freestyle people are going to take that, since they just rejoined. Honestly I believe our representative is weak and we are looking to change that representation, but we have always had, and still have a feeling that you all are really only about Ultimate and I am not sure this survey should or will do much to change that perception.

As I always highlight the importance of communication, well knowing that nearly all flying disc officials work on a voluntary basis, I'd like to see people from around the world discuss closer and stronger the issues asked in this opinion survey. Concerning many of the topics highlighted here I only can guess, for example does WFDF spend the right amount of time for the Olympic movement? How should I know? It's not only that opinions differ but the knowledge on what's going exactly differs a lot, too. I don't know a secret recipe, though. Maybe we should just try to strengthen possibilities to get informed and compare notes (like more meeting minutes, fora for discussions, comment functions on websites and so forth) and see what proves its worth? Kind regards

slow but steady growth is easier and more sustainable then a hype.

I would like to see WFDF help or try have a look in to help teams in Developing countries and focus on building continental championships. I say go commercial, bring in the big guns, advertiser but keep the brand/traditions same or may be just shine it but don't change them.

I often see that self-refereeing/autoregulation and SotG are used as the same thing, although I see them as two different items. We all play our beloved sports with Spirit of the Game, a basic respect for the sport, the rules, our opponents and our team mates. Besides, in our sports we have a (very good) manner to organize our play in the field and that's autoregulation, in stead of a jury or referees do in most other sports. Of course, to be able to organize our sports with autoregulation it is needed that everyone enters the field with good ( or enough ) SotG.

The dropdown menu of question 23 doesn't have the correct name for the Portuguese FDF. It should be APUDD

I think the idea of referees in a pro league is fine, but all other competitions should not adapt the same rules as the pro league.

WFDF should use regional championships to determine extra bids (except one per federation) for WUCC, particularly in Europe, where the structure EUCF - EUCR - EUCQ is well established. No need to use national championships.

The entire section on Professional Leagues was very hard to respond to. I think these types of leagues are fantastic, but I disagree strongly that they should be run by private organisations. USAU should be the controlling body for these leagues. Ie the league is run by an organisation that is wholly owned by USAU, or partially owned by USAU and the teams. Same with the section of referees. Referees or no refs does not define the sport of Ultimate. It is just a type of officiation. I strongly believe we should avoid referees, because, although many (all) other sports use it, I believe it leads to cheating and an increase in attempts to 'game' ref. Phrases like "It's not a foul if the ref didn't see it" or "You push the rules as far as you can until the referee penalises you" are often heard in other sports and are abhorrent. The rules are the rules and all teams need to play within them. That should always be the starting point and self-refereeing (possibly with observers) is the best way to stay at that standard.

No.

Thanks for everyone's hard work and great progress!!

For a few of the choices, I picked the option that was closest to my opinion as none of the options reflected it. May be good to have an "Other" option for a few of the questions in the future.

No

Please use [Info@Belgianultimate.be](mailto:Info@Belgianultimate.be) to contact the Belgian Flying Disc federation. One of the questions alluded to a separation between Ultimate and Other sports. In Belgium we would strongly support this. Having to include other sports makes work for the national and international federations a lot harder. This also slows down international initiatives (regardless which sport). Each sport has its own good and bad sides. But there are distinct differences between all disc sports. Ultimate and Disc golf are equally distant as golf is from soccer.